

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/661,134 | 09/12/2003 | | John E. Harrold | SWD-127A | 7745 |
| 7590 06/27/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Kenneth P. Gl Glynn & Assoc | | | DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE | | |
| 24 Mine Street | iaics, F. | С. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Flemington, NJ 08822 | | | | 3754 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | ζ(I | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/661,134 | HARROLD, JOHN E. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| <u></u> . | | PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI | 3754 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR siOX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the may depart term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | · | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 | January 2005. | | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| ′— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8-12,14,15 and 18-20 is/are | e rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) 3,6,7,13,16 and 17 is/are objected | to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | I/or election requirement. | • | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | • | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 - | The specification is objected to by the Exam | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 . | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | ccepted or b) Dobjected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to t | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawing(s) is ol | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 . | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 / | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Applicat | tion No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | riority documents have been receiv | ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a l | ist of the certified copies not receiv | ed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Pate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| | No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/03</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is over 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 11, line 18 the reference for the sidewall is not 13. Figure 1 shows 13 is part of the latching system.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-12, 14-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery in view of Tauber and Adams et al.

Montgomery shows a safety cap comprising a base element 54, cap10, latching system19, 64 and spring 20. Montgomery lacks the base element having a container tube attachment mechanism. Tauber shows a base element 44 having a tube attachment mechanism 60 to facilitate manufacturing of a container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Montgomery base element with a tube attachment mechanism as taught by Tauber to facilitate manufacturing of a container.

Art Unit: 3754

Montgomery lacks the cap having a lower portion including a downward extension of the sidewall. Adams et al show a cap having a lower extension 93 to seal against the shoulder of the container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Montgomery cap with a lower extension as taught by Adams et al to seal against the shoulder of the container.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 6-7, 13 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Towns and DeJonge were cited to show further examples of caps.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,134

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

PD 6/23/05